

THE SUBJUNCTIVE MOOD: SUMMARY OF FORMS AND CLAUSE TYPES

R. A. LaFleur
(rev. May 23, 2011)

I. CONCEPT OF THE SUBJUNCTIVE MOOD (Definition)

You will recall from Wheelock Ch. 1 that “mood” (from Lat. **modus**) is the “manner” of expressing a verbal action or state of being. In Ch. 1 we encountered verbs in two of the three Latin moods, the indicative and the imperative. As you know, an imperative (from **imper re**, *to command*) emphatically commands someone to undertake an action that is not yet going on, while indicatives (from **indic re**, *to point out*) “indicate” real actions, i.e., actions that have in fact occurred (or have definitely not occurred) in the past, that are occurring (or are definitely not occurring) in the present, or that fairly definitely will (or will not) occur in the future.

In contrast to the indicative, the mood of actuality and factuality, the subjunctive is in general (though not always) the mood of potential, tentative, hypothetical, ideal, or even unreal action. An example in English is, “If the other student were here, he would be taking notes”; in this conditional sentence, which imagines actions that are contrary to the actual facts, English employs the auxiliaries “were” and “would” to indicate that the action described is only ideal. Among the other auxiliaries used in English to describe potential or hypothetical actions are “may,” “might,” “should,” “would,” “may have,” “would have,” etc.

Latin employs the subjunctive much more frequently than English, in a wide variety of clause types, and it uses special subjunctive verb forms rather than auxiliaries. There are two tasks involved in mastering the subjunctive: first, learning the new forms, which is a relatively simple matter; second, learning to recognize and translate the various subjunctive clause types, which is also quite easily done, if your approach is systematic.

II. SUBJUNCTIVE TENSES (Formation/Recognition)

There are only four tenses in the subjunctive mood. The present subjunctive is introduced in Ch. 28 and has rules for formation that vary slightly for each of the four conjugations; rules for forming the imperfect (Ch. 29), perfect, and pluperfect (Ch. 30) are the same for all four conjugations.

PRESENT SUBJUNCTIVE: resembles present indicative, but with the vowel changes signalled in the mnemonic WE FEAR A LIAR and the personal endings **-m**, **-s**, **-t**, etc.; sometimes translated with the auxiliary “may.”

IMPERFECT SUBJUNCTIVE: = 2nd principal part (present active infinitive), with the final **-e** lengthened, plus personal endings **-m, -s, -t**, etc.; sometimes translated with “might.”

PERFECT SUBJUNCTIVE: ACTIVE = the perfect active stem (from 3rd principal part) + **-erim, -er s**, etc. (resembles future perfect indicative except **-erim** not **-er** and **- -** long in **-er s, -er mus, -er tis**; sometimes translated with “may have.” PASSIVE = perfect passive participle (4th principal part) + **sim, s s**, etc. (i.e., subjunctive equivalent of **sum**); sometimes translated with “may have been.”

PLUPERFECT SUBJUNCTIVE: ACTIVE = perfect active infinitive (from 3rd principal part) + **-m, -s, -t**, etc.; sometimes translated with “might have.” PASSIVE = perfect passive participle (4th principal part) + **essem, ess s**, etc. (i.e., subjunctive equivalent of **eram**); sometimes translated with “might have been.”

SYNOPSIS

The following synopsis provides a useful overview of the entire Latin verb system, including all four subjunctive mood tenses:

Indicative Mood

	Pres.	Fut.	Impf.	Perf.	Fut.Perf.	Plupf.
Act.	d cit	d cet	d c bat	d xit	d xerit	d x e r a t
Pass.	d citur	d c tur	d c b tur	ductus est	ductus erit	ductus erat

Subjunctive Mood

	Pres.	Fut.	Impf.	Perf.	Fut.Perf.	Plupf.
Act.	d cat	----	d ceret	d xerit	----	d xisset
Pass.	d c tur	----	d cer tur	ductus sit	----	ductus esset

III. SUBJUNCTIVE CLAUSE TYPES

In Wheelock you are introduced to a series of subjunctive clause types: jussive and purpose clauses (Ch. 28), result clauses (29), indirect questions (30), **cum** clauses (31), proviso clauses (32), conditions (33, with three distinct subjunctive types), jussive noun clauses (36), relative clauses of characteristic (38), and fear clauses (40). You should systematically learn three details for each: (1) its definition, (2) how to recognize it in a Latin sentence, and (3) how to translate it into English.

1. JUSSIVE SUBJUNCTIVES (Wheelock Ch. 28)

Definition: an independent (main) clause expressing a command or exhortation (the only use of the subjunctive in a main clause formally introduced in Wheelock). E.g., “Let us study this lesson carefully.”

Recognition: easily recognized, since the sentence's main verb (and often its only verb) is subjunctive; negatives are introduced by **n** .

Translation: *let* (sometimes *may* or *should*) is the English auxiliary used in translation, followed by the subject noun or pronoun (in the objective case, i.e., *me, us, him, her, it, them*). E.g.,

C git m nunc d h c r , et tum n n err b .

Let me now think about this matter, and then I will not make a mistake.

Discipulus discat aut disc dat.

Let the student either learn or leave.

Disc mus magn cum d lect ti ne linguam Lat nam.

Let us learn the Latin language with great delight.

N id faci mus.

Let us not do this.

2. PURPOSE CLAUSES (Wheelock Ch. 28)

Definition: a subordinate clause explaining the purpose of the action in the main clause, i.e., answering the question “why?” E.g., “we study Latin *so that we may learn more about ancient Rome*” or “we study Latin *to improve our English*.”

Recognition: introduced by **ut** if purpose is stated positively, by **n** if negative, with a subjunctive verb at the end, and answering the question “why?”

Translation: there are often several options, including translating **ut** + the subjunctive together as an infinitive; other more formal options include using the auxiliary “may” for the present subjunctive and “might” for the imperfect. E.g.:

Hic dicit ut eis iuvet.

*He says this to help them.
in order to help them.
that he may help them.
so that he may help them.
in order that he may help them.*

The first two translation options given above are more colloquial, the others more formal.

Hic dixit ut eis iuvaret.

*He said this to help them.
in order to help them.
that he might help them.
so that he might help them.
in order that he might help them.*

3. RESULT CLAUSES (Wheelock Ch. 29)

Definition: a subordinate clause explaining the result of the action in the main clause, i.e., answering the question “what is (was) the *outcome*?” E.g., “It is raining so hard *that the streets are flooding*” and “Vicky studied Latin so diligently *that she knew it like a Roman.*”

Recognition: introduced by **ut** and concludes with a subjunctive verb; if negative, contains some negative word (**nō, nēm, numquam**, etc.); and answers the question “what is (was) the *outcome*?” Main clause usually contains a signal word indicating degree (**tam, tantus, ita, sic**). Easily distinguished from a purpose clause by sense and context, by the signal word in the main clause, and, if negative, by the presence of some negative word within the clause (vs. a negative purpose clause, which is introduced by **nō**).

Translation: translate **ut** as “that” and translate the subjunctive *as an indicative*, i.e., without an auxiliary (no “may” or “might”). E.g.:

Tam multum studet ut Latine bene discat.

He studies so much that he learns (is learning) Latin well.

Tam multum studuit ut Latine bene disceret.

He studied so much that he learned Latin well.

4. INDIRECT QUESTIONS (Wheelock Ch. 30)

Definition: a subordinate clause which reports some question indirectly, i.e., not via a direct quotation. E.g., “They asked what Gaius was doing” vs. “They asked, ‘What is Gaius doing?’”

(comparable in conception to an indirect statement, which reports indirectly, not a question, but some affirmative statement and uses an infinitive with accusative subject, not a subjunctive).

Recognition: introduced by an interrogative word (**quis, quid, c r, ubi, utrum...an** [*whether...or*], **-ne** [attached to the clause's first word, = *whether*]) and ends with a subjunctive; the verb in the main clause is ordinarily a verb of speech, mental activity, or sense perception (the same verbs that introduce indirect statements, listed in Ch. 25)

Translation: translate the subjunctive as an indicative (as with result clauses, no “may” or “might”). Compare the following direct and indirect questions:

Quid Gaius facit?	<i>What is Gaius doing?</i>
Rogant quid Gaius faciat.	<i>They ask what Gaius is doing.</i>
Rog v runt quid Gaius faceret.	<i>They asked what Gaius was doing.</i>

Quid Gaius f acit?	<i>What did Gaius do?</i>
Rogant quid Gaius f acerit.	<i>They ask what Gaius did.</i>
Rog v runt quid Gaius f acisset.	<i>They asked what Gaius had done.</i>

Quid Gaius faciet?	<i>What will Gaius do?</i>
Rogant quid Gaius fact rus sit.	<i>They ask what Gaius will do (is about to do).</i>
Rog v runt quid Gaius fact rus esset.	<i>They asked what Gaius would do (was about to do).</i>

FUTURE ACTIVE PERIPHRASTIC: **Fact rus sit/esset** in the last two examples is the “future active periphrastic,” occasionally employed to indicate future time in certain types of clauses, including indirect questions (Wheelock, p. 204).

SEQUENCE OF TENSES: The above examples clearly indicate the rule for sequence of tenses, i.e., a primary tense main verb (present or future) is followed by a primary tense subjunctive (present = time same or later than main verb, perfect = time before main verb), a secondary (historical) tense main verb (any past tense) is followed by a secondary tense subjunctive (imperfect = time same or later than main verb, pluperfect = time before main verb); see Wheelock pp. 204–05.

5-7. *Cum* CLAUSES (Wheelock Ch. 31)

Definition: a subordinate clause introduced by **cum** meaning when/since/although and describing an action somehow associated with the action in the main clause. **FOUR TYPES:** **TEMPORAL/cum** = “when” = an action occurring at the same exact time as the action in the main clause; **CIRCUMSTANTIAL/cum** = “when” = some general circumstances under which action in

main clause occurred; CAUSAL/**cum** = “since” = a cause/reason for action in main clause; ADVERSATIVE/**cum** = “although” = an action contrary to what might be expected, in view of the action in the main clause. E.g., When he saw her that day, he was happy (temporal); when he studies, he does well (circumstantial); since he studied hard, he did well (causal); although he studied hard, nevertheless he did not do well (adversative).

Recognition: introduced by **cum** (WITHOUT an ablative noun following—in which case **cum** would be functioning as a preposition = “with”), with a verb—either subjunctive or indicative—at the end, and usually set off from the main clause by comma(s); of the four types, the TEMPORAL is recognized by its INDICATIVE verb and the ADVERSATIVE is usually recognized by the presence of **tamen** (“nevertheless”) in the main clause; the other two types are recognized by context.

Translation: translate the verb in ALL FOUR TYPES as an INDICATIVE (i.e., with NO AUXILIARY such as “may” or “might”); translate **cum** as: “when,” if the verb is indicative; “although,” if **tamen** is in the main clause; otherwise as “when” or “since,” depending on context. E.g.:

INDICATIVE TYPE

Cum TEMPORAL

Cum in urbem illi dixit, tu vidit. *WHEN he CAME into the city that day, he saw you.*

SUBJUNCTIVE TYPES:

5. Cum CIRCUMSTANTIAL

Cum studeat, bene agit. *WHEN (whenever) he STUDIES, he does well.*

6. Cum CAUSAL

Cum hoc sciret, potuit eis iuvare. *SINCE he KNEW this, he was able to help them.*

7. Cum ADVERSATIVE

Cum hoc sciret, tamen non potuit eis iuvare. *ALTHOUGH he KNEW this, he was nevertheless unable to help them.*

8. PROVISIO CLAUSES (Wheelock Ch. 32)

Definition: a subordinate clause introduced by **dummodo** meaning “provided that/so long as” and describing an action on which the action in the main clause is contingent. E.g., “So long as he sees her tomorrow, he will be happy”; “Provided that you enjoy Latin, you won’t mind studying.”

Recognition: introduced by **dummodo**, with a subjunctive verb at the end, and usually set off from the main clause by comma(s).

Translation: translate **dummodo** as “provided that” or “so long as,” and translate the verb as an INDICATIVE (i.e., with NO AUXILIARY such as “may” or “might”). E.g.:

Bene ag s, dummodo stude s.

You will do well, provided that you study.

Semper eris f l x, dummodo Lat nam am s.

So long as you love Latin, you will be happy.

9-11. **CONDITIONAL CLAUSES (Wheelock Ch. 33)**

Definition: a basic sentence type consisting of one clause stating a condition or premise, called the “protasis,” introduced by **s**, “if,” or **nisi**, “if not/unless,” and a second clause called the “apodosis,” which states an outcome if the action in the protasis is realized. There are six basic types, three that take the indicative because they describe conditions that are likely to be realized, and three that take the subjunctive because they describe conditions that are less likely to be realized or even contrary to the actual facts of the situation. E.g., “If you study, you will learn.”

Recognition: two clauses, one introduced by **s** or **nisi** and both USUALLY with verbs in same mood and tense.

Translation: depends on which of the six clause types, as follows:

INDICATIVE TYPES:

SIMPLE FACT PRESENT

S stud s, discis. *If you are studying, you are learning.*

SIMPLE FACT FUTURE/FUTURE MORE VIVID

S stud bis, disc s. *If you (will) study, you will learn.*

SIMPLE FACT PAST

S studuist, didicist. *If you studied, you learned.*

S stud b s, disc b s. *If you were studying, you were learning.*

SUBJUNCTIVE TYPES:

9. FUTURE LESS VIVID/SHOULD-WOULD

S stud s, disc s. *If you should study, you would learn.*

10. CONTRARY TO FACT PRESENT

S stud r s, discer s. *If you were studying, you would be learning.*

11. CONTRARY TO FACT PAST

S studuiss s, didiciss s. *If you had studied, you would have learned.*

12. JUSSIVE NOUN CLAUSES (Wheelock Ch. 36)

Definition: a subordinate clause that reports indirectly, not in a direct quotation, what someone has ordered (hence the term “jussive”), commanded, urged, persuaded, begged, etc.—i.e., an expression of someone's will or desire. Comparable to indirect statements/indirect questions in conception. E.g., “He orders/urges/persuades/begs/asks/advises you to do this.”

Recognition: identical to a purpose clause, introduced by **ut/n** + subjunctive verb, but follows a verb of ordering etc. and answers the question “what” rather than “why?”

Translation: translate **ut** + subjunctive simply as an infinitive, add *not* with **n** .

T hortor ut cum c r stude s.

I urge you to study carefully.

13. RELATIVE CLAUSE OF CHARACTERISTIC (Wheelock Ch. 38)

Definition: a subordinate relative clause that describes--NOT a SPECIFIC characteristic--but some GENERAL quality of an antecedent that is itself either GENERAL, INDEFINITE, INTERROGATIVE, or NEGATIVE. E.g., “She was the SORT of person who would do this.” “Who is there who would do this?” “There is no one who would do this.”

Recognition: begins with a relative pronoun and ends with a subjunctive verb.

Translation: “would” sometimes used as auxiliary, sometimes no auxiliary; “the sort of/kind of” often supplied to make clear that antecedent is indefinite.

Corn lia est discipula quae Lat nam amet.

Cornelia is a student who would love Latin/the sort of student who loves Latin.

Quis est qui Lat nam n n amet.

Who is there who does/would not love Latin?

14. FEAR CLAUSE (Wheelock Ch. 40)

Definition: a subordinate clause that describes an action that someone in the main clause fears. E.g., “I fear that they may not believe this.”

Recognition: main clause has a fear of fearing (e.g., **time** , **metu** , **vereor**) and fear clause begins with **n** if positive and **ut** if negative.

Translation: Translate **n** as “that” and **ut** as “that...not,” and supply “may/will” for present subjunctive, “might/would” for imperfect, “has/(may) have” for perfect subjunctive, “had/might have” for pluperfect.

Time ut Lat nam am s.

I fear that you may/will not love Latin.

Timu runt n Hannibal R mam in ret.

They were afraid that Hannibal might/would enter Rome.

Metuit ut discipul studuissent.

He feared that the students had not/might not have studied.

Topics Covered from Latin 1-4

— Verbs

○ Moods

▪ Imperative- commands

• Positive

- Singular (drop –re from infinitive)
- Plural (add –te to singular command)

• Negative

- Singular (add noli to infinitive)
- Plural (add nolite to infinitive)
- Irregular positive singular: fac, dic, duc

▪ Indicative Mood- real, true, factual events

• Active Voice

- Present tense (is/are, do/does)

▪ Endings:

• O	• Mus
• S	• Tis
• T	• Nt

▪ Connecting vowels

- 1st- a
- 2nd- e
- 3rd- i (3rd plural -u)
- 3io- i (3rd plural -iu)
- 4th- i (3rd plural -iu)

- Imperfect tense (was –ing, used to)

▪ Endings:

• Bam	• Bamus
• Bas	• Batis
• Bat	• Bant

▪ Connecting vowels

- 1st- a
- 2nd- e
- 3rd- e
- 3io- ie
- 4th- ie

- Future tense (will, shall)

▪ 2 sets of endings:

- 1st and 2nd conjugation

• Bo	• Bimus
• Bis	• Bitis
• Bit	• Bunt

- 3rd, 3io, and 4th conjugation

• Am	• Emus
• Es	• Etis
• Et	• Ent

- Connecting vowels

- 1st- a
- 2nd- e
- 3rd- NONE
- 3^{io}- i
- 4th- i

- Perfect tense (-ed, have -ed)

- Use 3rd principal part and drop the “-i” and add endings
- Endings:

• I	• Imus
• Isti	• Istis
• It	• Erunt

- Pluperfect tense (had)

- Use 3rd principal part and drop the “-i” and add endings
- Endings:

• Eram	• Eramus
• Eras	• Eratis
• Erat	• Erant

- Future perfect tense (will have)

- Use 3rd principal part and drop the “-i” and add endings
- Endings:

• Ero	• Erimus
• Eris	• Eritis
• Erit	• Erunt

- Passive Voice

- Present tense (is/are being)

- Endings:

• Or	• Mur
• Ris	• Mini
• Tur	• Ntur

- Connecting vowels

- 1st- a
- 2nd- e
- 3rd- i (2nd singular -e , 3rd plural -u)
- 3^{io}- i (2nd singular -e, 3rd plural -iu)
- 4th- i (3rd plural -iu)

- Imperfect tense (was/were being, used to be -ed)

- Endings:

• Bar	• Bamur
• Baris	• Bamini
• Batur	• Bantur

- Connecting vowels

- 1st- a
- 2nd- e
- 3rd- e
- 3^{io}- ie
- 4th- ie

○ Future tense (will be, shall be)

▪ 2 sets of endings:

• 1st and 2nd conjugation

• Bor	• Bimur
• Beris	• Bimini
• Bitur	• Buntur

• 3rd, 3^{io}, and 4th conjugation

• Ar	• Emur
• Eris	• Emini
• Etur	• Entur

▪ Connecting vowels

- 1st- a
- 2nd- e
- 3rd- NONE
- 3^{io}- i
- 4th- i

○ Perfect tense (have/ has been -ed)

- Use 4th principal part(supine in correct gender) and add sum, esse (present indicative forms)

▪ Endings:

• Supine-us,a,um sum	• Supine-i, ae,a sumus
• Supine-us,a,um es	• Supine-i, ae,a estis
• Supine-us,a,um est	• Supine-i, ae,a sunt

○ Pluperfect tense (had been -ed)

- Use 4th principal part(supine in correct gender) and add sum, esse (imperfect indicative forms)

▪ Endings:

• Supine-us,a,um eram	• Supine-i, ae,a eramus
• Supine-us,a,um eras	• Supine-i, ae,a eratis
• Supine-us,a,um erat	• Supine-i, ae,a erant

○ Future perfect tense

- Use 4th principal part(supine in correct gender) and add sum, esse (future indicative forms)

▪ Endings:

• Supine-us,a,um ero	• Supine-i, ae,a erimus
• Supine-us,a,um eris	• Supine-i, ae,a eritis
• Supine-us,a,um erit	• Supine-i, ae,a erunt

▪ Subjunctive Mood- unreal, hypothetical, possible, potential events

• Active Voice

○ Present tense

▪ 2 sets of endings:

• 1st conjugation

• Em	• Emus
• Es	• Etis
• Et	• Ent

- 2nd, 3rd, 3^{io}, and 4th conjugation

• Am	• Amus
• As	• Atis
• At	• Ant

- Connecting vowels

- 1st- NONE
- 2nd- e
- 3rd-NONE
- 3^{io}- i
- 4th- i

- Imperfect tense

- Use the infinitive and add endings

- Endings:

• M	• Mus
• S	• Tis
• T	• Nt

- Perfect tense

- Use 3rd principal part and drop the “-i” and add endings

- Endings:

• Erim	• Erimus
• Eris	• Eritis
• Erit	• Erint

- Pluperfect tense

- Use 3rd principal part and drop the “-i” and add endings

- Endings:

• Issem	• Issemus
• Isses	• Issetis
• Isset	• Issent

- Passive Voice

- Present tense

- 2 sets of endings:

- 1st conjugation

• Er	• Emur
• Eris	• Emini
• Etur	• Entur

- 2nd, 3rd, 3^{io}, and 4th conjugation

• Ar	• Amur
• Aris	• Amini
• Atur	• Antur

- Connecting vowels

- 1st- NONE
- 2nd- e
- 3rd-NONE
- 3^{io}- i
- 4th- i

- Imperfect tense
 - Use the infinitive and add endings
 - Endings:

• R	• Mur
• Ris	• Mini
• Tur	• Ntur

- Perfect tense
 - Use 4th principal part (supine in correct gender) and add sum, esse (present subjunctive forms)
 - Endings:

• Supine-us,a,um sim	• Supine-i, ae,a simus
• Supine-us,a,um sis	• Supine-i, ae,a sitis
• Supine-us,a,um sit	• Supine-i, ae,a sint

- Pluperfect tense
 - Use 4th principal part (supine in correct gender) and add sum, esse (imperfect subjunctive forms)
 - Endings:

• Supine-us,a,um essem	• Supine-i, ae,a essemus
• Supine-us,a,um esses	• Supine-i, ae,a essetis
• Supine-us,a,um esset	• Supine-i, ae,a essent

- Irregular verbs (you have a reference sheet on how these are conjugated)

- Sum, esse, fui, futurum- to be
- Eo, ire, ii, itum- to go
- Possum, posse, potui- to be able to
- Volo, volle, volui- to be willing
- Nolo, nolle, nolui- to refuse, to be unwilling
- Fio, fieri, factus sum- to become, to be made, to wish
- Fero, ferre, tuli, latum- to bear, to carry

- Infinitives

- Active
 - Present: -are, -ere, -ire
 - Mutare, movere, ducere, cupere, venire
 - Past
 - Mutavisse, movisse, duxisse, cupivisse, venisse
 - Future
 - Mutaturus,a,um esse; moturus,a,um esse; ducturus,a,um esse; cupiturus,a,um esse; venturus,a,um esse.
- Passive
 - Present
 - Mutari, moveri, duci, cupi, veniri
 - Past
 - Mutatus,a,um esse; motus,a,um esse; ductus,a,um esse; cupitus,a,um esse; ventus,a,um esse.
 - Future
 - Mutatum iri; motum iri; ductum iri; cupitum iri; ventum iri.

— Nouns

- 1st declension
- 2nd declension masculine
- 2nd declension neuter
- 3rd declension masculine and feminine constant stem
- 3rd declension masculine and feminine i-stem
- 3rd declension neuter constant stem
- 3rd declension neuter i-stem
- 4th declension
- 5th declension
- **Gerunds**
 - Nouns. End in -ing
 - Add the ending *-ndum* to the verb stem, comes from infinitive
 - There is no nominative
 - Only declines in the gen., dat., acc., and abl. (oblique cases)
 - Nom.- None (infinitive) amare- to love
 - Gen.- Amandi- of loving
 - Dat.- Amando- to/for loving
 - Acc.- Amandum- loving
 - Abl.- Amando- with/by loving
 - 2 expressions of purpose
 - Causā + gen. gerund- “for the sake of” “for the purpose of” “to” “by reason of”
 - Loquendi causā- for the sake of speaking(gerund)
 - Legendi causā venit- He comes for the purpose of reading(gerund)
He comes for the sake of reading(gerund)
He comes to read(gerund)
 - Ad + acc. gerund- “for the purpose of” “to”
 - Ad legendum venit- He comes for the purpose of reading(gerund)
He comes for the sake of reading(gerund)
He comes to read(gerund)
 - Abl. gerund- manner, means, cause “with/by”
 - Discimus legendo(abl. gerund) librorum- We learn by reading(gerund) books.
 - Note: the word “librorum” is the direct object but it is in the genitive case. This is the **objective genitive**. The gerund likes to use the objective genitive as the direct object, though it also uses the accusative as direct objects.

— Pronouns

- Personal
 - Ego, mei- I/me
 - Tu, tui- you
 - Nos, nostri/nostrum- we/us
 - Vos, vestri/vestrum- you all
 - Is, ea, id- he, she, it
- Possessive noun-adjective
 - Meus,a,um- my
 - Tuus,a,um- your
 - Noster, nostra, nostrum- our

- Vester, vestra, vestrum- your (pl.)
- Demonstrative
 - Ille, illa, illud- that, that one
 - Hic, haec, hoc- this, this one
 - Iste, ista, istud- that (negative connotation)
- Indefinite
 - Aliquis, aliquid- someone, something
- Reflexive
 - -, sui- himself, herself, itself, themselves
- Relative (makes relative clause)
 - Qui, quae, quod- who, which
- Intensive, emphatic
 - Ipse, ipsa, ipsum- himself, herself, itself

— Adjectives

- 1st / 2nd declension adjectives
- 3rd declension adjectives (most are i-stems)
- Substantive adjectives (stand without a noun; noun is implied)
- Irregular 1st/ 2nd declension adjectives (you have a reference sheet on how these are declined)
 - alius, alia, aliud- other, another alii...alii- some...others
 - alter, altera, alterum- the other (of two)
 - neuter, neutra, neutrum- not either, neither
 - nullus,a,um- not any, no, none
 - quisque, quidque- each one, each person, each thing
 - solus,a,um- alone, only
 - totus,a,um- whole, entire
 - ullus,a,um- any
 - uter, utra, utrum- either, which (of two)
- Participles
 - Present Active Participle: -ing
 - 3rd declension endings
 - -ns, -ntis ex. movens, moventis
 - Perfect Passive Participle- having been _____-ed
 - 1st/ 2nd declension endings
 - Supine ex. motus, moti, moto, motum, moto
 - Future Active Participle- about to _____
 - 1st/ 2nd declension endings
 - Supine +-urus,a,um ex.moturus,a,um
 - Note: With sum, esse this make the *future active periphrastic*
 - Future Passive Participle (**gerundive**)- about to be _____-ed (avoid this translation)
 - 1st/ 2nd declension endings
 - Add -ndus,a,um to stem ex. mutandus,a,um; movendus,a,um; ducendus, a, um; cupiendus,a,um; veniendus,a,um
 - This participle is mainly used to make gerundive constructions like the future passive periphrastic (gerundive of obligation; made in combination with sum, esse)
 - Future passive periphrastics also use dative of agents instead of ablatives of agent.
 - Hic ager *aratus* est. This field *must be plowed*.

- Periculum semper nostris bellatoribus(dative of agent) *vitandum* est.
Danger *must be avoided* always by our warriors.
- Comparative degree of adjectives (more, rather, -er)
 - 3rd declension endings (NOT I-STEMS)
 - Add -ior to stem for masc./fem. forms ex. fortior, fortioris
 - Add -ius to stem for neut. forms ex. fortius, fortioris
 - +quam= than (two things being compared are in the same case: Iste **tyrannus** fortior **ego** *quam* est. That tyrant is stronger than me)
- Superlative degree of adjectives (most, very, -est)
 - 1st/ 2nd declension endings
 - Add -issimus,a,um to stem (unless it ends in -er or ilis ex. pulcher= pulcherrimus,a,um (double the “R”); facilis= facillimus,a,um double the “L”)
 - +quam= modifies to highest extent *possible*. (Tyrannus fortissimus *quam* est. He is the strongest tyrant ever. He is the strongest tyrant possible.)
- Irregular comparative and superlatives

Positive	Comparative	Superlative
Bonus,a,um (good)	Melior (better)	Optimus,a,um (best)
Malus,a,um (bad)	Peior (worse)	Pessimus,a,um (worst)
Magnus,a,um (big, great)	Maior (bigger, greater)	Maximus,a,um (biggest, greatest)
Multus,a,um (many)	Plus (more)	Plurimus,a,um (most)
Parvus,a,um (small)	Minor (smaller)	Minimus,a,um (smallest)

Case	Function
Nominative	Subject, Subject Compliment
Genitive	(of/'s) Possession, Partitive Genitive, Objective
Dative	(to/for) Indirect object, direct object with certain verbs, agent (with gerundives)
Accusative	Direct object, object of preposition, time during, motion towards
Ablative	Object of preposition, time when, time within, motion away, agent, means or instrument, manner, separation, accompaniment, absolute
Vocative	Direct address
Locative	Location (cities, small islands, “home”)

— Interrogatives

- -ne (yes/no)
- Nonne (yes) Don't/Doesn't/ Isn't/ Aren't/ Won't/ Didn't/ Hadn't/
- Num (no) Negative statement, positive question: Num venit? He is not coming, is he?

The link below is a great resource <https://www.youtube.com/user/latintutorial>

— Clauses/ Statements (you were given this as a handout)

Types of Clauses/Statements	Signifiers	Latin Example (Verb in Bold)	English Meaning
Purpose (...so that/ in order that...)	ut + subjunctive	-ludimus ut vincamus -ludebamus ut vinceremus	We play in order that we may win . We play so that we may win . We played in order that we might win . We play so that we might win .
Result	ut + subjunctive (with words: tam, tantus, talis, tot, totiens, adeo, ita)	Puella tam bene ludit ut pueros vincat .	The girl plays so well that she beat the boys.
Indirect Commands	verb of ordering + ut/ne in the subjunctive	Rex militibus imperat ut ad urbem iter faciat .	The king orders the soldiers to make a journey towards the city.
Indirect Questions	verb of asking + interrogative word (cur, quando, quid, quomodo) + subjunctive	Rex militem <i>rogat</i> quando regina ad urbem ventura sit . (notice the future active periphrastic. In a primary sequence it translates with the word "will")	The king asks the soldier when the queen will come to the city.
Conditional Statement Simple Fact Present (is-are/ do-does/ present tense)	Si/nisi + Present Indicative	Si ludimus, vincimus .	If we play, then we win. If we do play, then we win. If we are playing, then we win.
Conditional Statement Simple Fact Past (-ed)	Si/nisi + Imperfect/Perfect Indicative	Si ludebamus, vincebamus .	If we played, then we won.
Conditional Statement Simple Fact Future (will)	Si/nisi + Future/ Future Perfect Indicative	Si ludemus, vincemus .	If we (will) play, then we will win.
Conditional Statement Contrary-to-Fact Present (was-were/would)	Si/nisi + Imperfect Subjunctive	Si luderemus, vinceremus	If we were playing, we would win.
Conditional Statement Contrary-to-Fact Past (had/would have)	Si/nisi + Pluperfect Subjunctive	Si ludissemus, vicissemus	If we had played, we would have won.
Conditional Statement Future Less Vivid (should/would)	Si/nisi + Present/Perfect Subjunctive	Si ludamus, vincamus	If we should play, we would win.
Cum Temporal (When)	Cum + indicative	Cum advenimus , proelium inceptit.	When we arrived the battle began.
Cum Circumstantial (When)	Cum + subjunctive	Cum cenarent , amicus quidam casam intravit.	When they were dining, a certain friend entered the house.
Cum Causal (Since/Because)	Cum + subjunctive	Cum fessi simus , cibo vescimur.	Since we are tired, we feed on food.
Cum Adversative	Cum + subjunctive +	Cum fessi simus, tamen	Although we are tired, we

(Although)	tamen	diligenter laboramus.	are <i>still</i> working.
Proviso Clause (...as long as/ provided that...)	Indicative main clause, + dummodo + subjunctive verb	Non timebo, <i>dummodo</i> hic maneas . Non timebo, <i>dummodo</i> ne hic maneas .	-I will not fear, as long as you stay here. - I will not fear, as long as you do not stay here.
Fear Clause	Verb of fearing + ut/ne + subjunctive	<u>Timemus</u> <i>ne</i> hostes nos capiant . <u>Timemus</u> <i>ut</i> hostes nos liberet .	- <i>We fear that</i> the enemy will/may catch us. -We fear <i>that</i> the enemy will/may <i>not</i> free us.
Indirect Statement (use indicative verbs)	Thinking Verb + accusative+ Infinitive (must incorporate the word “that”) Note: Remember that the tense of the head verb and the infinitive determine the tense/ keywords when translating (ex. “were”, “had” “would” “will” “is/are”, etc.)	Cogitavimus te nos iuisse. Cogitamus te nos iuvare. Cogitabimus te nos iuturum esse.	-We thought that you had helped us. -We think that you are helping us. -We will think that you will help us.